

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
A.	PURPOSE OF THE EIR	1
B.	PROPOSED PROJECT	2
C.	EIR SCOPE	2
D.	REPORT ORGANIZATION.....	3
II.	SUMMARY	5
A.	PROJECT UNDER REVIEW	5
B.	SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	5
C.	SUMMARY TABLE.....	7
III.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	31
A.	PROJECT SITE.....	31
B.	PROJECT BACKGROUND	38
C.	PROJECT OBJECTIVES.....	39
D.	PROPOSED PROJECT	39
E.	DISCRETIONARY CITY ACTIONS.....	47
F.	SUBSEQUENT DISCRETIONARY ACTIONS	48
G.	USES OF THIS EIR	50
IV.	PLANNING POLICY	51
A.	FOSTER CITY GENERAL PLAN	51
B.	FOSTER CITY ZONING ORDINANCE	61
C.	VINTAGE PARK DESIGN GUIDELINES.....	62
D.	SAN MATEO COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE AIRPORT LAND USE PLAN	66
V.	SETTING, IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	71
A.	LAND USE.....	73
B.	VISUAL QUALITY	81
C.	POPULATION, EMPLOYMENT, AND HOUSING	97
D.	GEOLOGY, SOILS AND SEISMICITY	107
E.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	121
F.	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	135
G.	TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION	155
H.	NOISE	211
I.	AIR QUALITY.....	225
J.	PUBLIC SERVICES, UTILITIES AND RECREATION.....	245
K.	GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE.....	261
L.	CULTURAL AND PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....	283
VI.	ALTERNATIVES	293
A.	NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE	294
B.	LAKESIDE DRIVE OPEN ALTERNATIVE	297
C.	REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE.....	299

D.	CONSOLIDATED BUILDING (TWO OFFICE BUILDING) ALTERNATIVE.....	301
E.	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT ULTIMATELY REJECTED	317
F.	ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE	317
VII.	CEQA-REQUIRED ASSESSMENT CONCLUSIONS	319
A.	GROWTH INDUCEMENT	319
B.	SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE CHANGES	320
C.	EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT	321
D.	SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	322
E.	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	322
VIII.	REPORT PREPARATION	327
A.	REPORT PREPARATION	327
B.	REFERENCES	328
C.	COMMUNICATIONS	336

APPENDICES

Appendix A:	Notice of Preparation and Comment Letters
Appendix B:	Amendments to the Vintage Park Design Guidelines
Appendix C:	Air Quality Data
Appendix D:	Community Evacuation Plan
Appendix E:	Water Supply Assessment

FIGURES

Figure III-1:	Project Vicinity and Regional Location	32
Figure III-2:	Aerial Photo of Plan Area.....	33
Figure III-3:	Proposed Campus Master Plan	40
Figure III-4:	Proposed Road Plan.....	44
Figure IV-1:	Existing General Plan Designation.....	53
Figure IV-2:	Existing Zoning Designation	63
Figure IV-3:	San Carlos Airport Influence Area Boundaries	67
Figure V.A-1:	Land Uses in the Plan Area	75
Figure V.A-1:	Land Uses in the Plan Area	75
Figure V.A-2:	Proposed Master Plan Massing Study	76
Figure V.B-1:	Viewpoint Location Map.....	83
Figure V.B-2:	Visual Simulations From Viewpoint 1	84
Figure V.B-3:	Visual Simulations From Viewpoint 2	85
Figure V.B-4:	Visual Simulations From Viewpoint 3	86
Figure V.B-5:	Visual Simulations From Viewpoint 4	87
Figure V.B-6:	Visual Simulations From Viewpoint 5	88
Figure V.B-7:	Visual Simulations From Viewpoint 6	89
Figure V.D-1:	Regional Faults.....	110
Figure V.G-1:	Project Locations	161
Figure V.G-2:	Study Intersections and Freeway Segments	162
Figure V.G-3a:	Existing Intersection Peak-Hour Volumes, Lane Configurations, and Traffic Control Devices	166
Figure V.G-3b:	Existing Intersection Peak-Hour Volumes, Lane Configurations, and Traffic Control Devices	167
Figure V.G-3c:	Existing Intersection Peak-Hour Volumes, Lane Configurations, and Traffic Control Devices	168
Figure V.G-4:	Trip Distribution Patterns	172
Figure V.G-5:	Recommended Improvements to Westbound SR-92 On Ramp From Chess Drive.....	175
Figure V.G-6:	Additional Recommended Improvements for Baseline Conditions	176
Figure V.G-7:	Existing Bicycle Facilities	179
Figure V.G-8:	Existing Transit Routes	181
Figure V.G-9a:	Trip Assignment – Gilead Sciences (Lakeside Drive Closed)	185
Figure V.G-9b:	Trip Assignment – Gilead Sciences (Lakeside Drive Closed)	186
Figure V.G-10a:	Projected Traffic Volumes for Scenario 3c – Lakeside Drive Closed.....	188
Figure V.G-10b:	Projected Traffic Volumes for Scenario 3c – Lakeside Drive Closed.....	189
Figure V.G-11a:	Cumulative Conditions Traffic Volumes	194
Figure V.G-11b:	Cumulative Conditions Traffic Volumes	195
Figure V.G-12:	Recommended Pedestrian Movements.....	202

Figure V.H-1:	Noise Monitoring Locations	215
Figure V.H-2:	Noise Data at Site LT-1	216
Figure VI-1:	Consolidated Building (Two Office Building) Alternative Site Plan.....	303
Figure VI-2:	Consolidated Building Alternative Massing Study	304
Figure VI-3:	Viewpoint Locations	307
Figure VI-4:	Visual Simulations from Viewpoint 1	308
Figure VI-5:	Visual Simulations from Viewpoint 2	309
Figure VI-6:	Visual Simulations from Viewpoint 3	310
Figure VI-7:	Visual Simulations from Viewpoint 4	311
Figure VI-8:	Visual Simulations from Viewpoint 5	312
Figure VI-9:	Visual Simulations from Viewpoint 6	313

TABLES

Table II-1:	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	8
Table III-1:	Existing Buildings	35
Table III-2:	Proposed New Buildings	41
Table III-3:	Parking Ratio Comparison	45
Table III-4:	Required Permits and Approvals.....	50
Table IV-1:	Applicable General Plan Goals, Policies, and Programs.....	57
Table V.B-1:	Vintage Park Design Guidelines Policy Consistency.....	95
Table V.C-1:	Foster City Population Growth.....	97
Table V.C-2:	Housing and Employment Data – Foster City and San Mateo County	101
Table V.C-3:	Projected Master Plan Housing Demand by City Based on Residential Location of Current Gilead Employees	103
Table V.C-4:	Project Housing Demand Compared to Housing Elements.....	104
Table V.C-5:	Housing and Employment Data Without and With Project.....	104
Table V.D-1:	Modified Mercalli Scale.....	112
Table V.G-1:	Signalized Intersection LOS Criteria – 2000 HCM.....	157
Table V.G-2:	Unsignalized LOS Criteria	157
Table V.G-3:	Freeway Segment LOS Criteria.....	158
Table V.G-4:	Locally Acceptable LOS Criteria	158
Table V.G-5:	Cumulative Development Analyzed in Scenario 4 - Land Use Descriptions...	160
Table V.G-6:	Existing Intersection Levels of Service (LOS) Based on HCM Methodology for Isolated Intersections	165
Table V.G-7:	Existing Intersection Levels of Service (LOS) Based on Simulation.....	169
Table V.G-8:	Existing Freeway Segment Levels of Service	170
Table V.G-9:	Scenario 2 (Baseline Conditions) Intersection Levels of Service.....	171
Table V.G-10:	Scenario 2 (Baseline Conditions) Freeway Segment Levels of Service.....	174
Table V.G-11:	Scenario 2 (Baseline Conditions) Intersection Levels of Service With and Without Recommended Improvements	177
Table V.G-12:	Existing Transit Service	180
Table V.G-13:	Trip Generation Estimates.....	184
Table V.G-14:	Scenario 3c (Proposed Project) Intersection Levels of Service Table.....	191
Table V.G-15:	Baseline Conditions Intersection Levels of Service With and Without Project Traffic.....	192
Table V.G-16:	Scenario 3c (Baseline Plus Gilead Project) Intersection Levels of Service With and Without Recommended Improvements	192
Table V.G-17:	Scenario 3c (Proposed Project) Freeway Segment Levels of Service.....	193
Table V.G-18:	Scenario 4 (Cumulative Conditions) Intersection Levels of Service.....	197
Table V.G-19:	Cumulative No Project Intersections Levels of Service	198
Table V.G-20:	Scenario 4 (Cumulative Conditions) Freeway Segment Levels of Service.....	200
Table V.G-21:	Cumulative No Project Freeway Segments Levels of Service	200
Table V.G-22:	Gilead Sciences Master Plan Parking Requirements.....	204

Table V.G-23:	Transportation Demand Management Measures for Gilead Sciences.....	208
Table V.H-1:	Definitions of Acoustical Terms.....	212
Table V.H-2:	Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels	212
Table V.H-3:	Noise and Land Use Compatibility Standards for Industrial and Commercial Noise Sources	213
Table V.H-4:	Short-Term Ambient Noise Monitoring Results, dBA.....	217
Table V.H-5:	Comparison of Existing and Baseline Plus Project Noise Levels, Ldn dBA ...	219
Table V.H-6:	Typical Ranges of Noise Levels at 50 Feet from Construction Sites (dBA L_{eq}).....	221
Table V.H-7:	Construction Related Noise Levels, dBA.....	222
Table V.I-1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards	226
Table V.I-2:	Highest Measured Air Pollutant Concentrations	231
Table V.I-3:	Annual Number of Days Exceeding Ambient Air Quality Standards	231
Table V.I-4:	Daily Project Emissions	237
Table V.I-5:	Predicted Roadside 8-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations in PPM	238
Table V.J-1:	SMFCSD Projected Enrollment Within Foster City	247
Table V.J-2:	SMUHSD Projected Enrollment of Schools Serving Foster City	247
Table V.J-3:	Current and Planned Water Supply and Demand (af/year)	249
Table V.J-4:	Projected EMID Deliveries For Three Multiple Dry Years (af/year).....	249
Table V.J-5:	EMID Water Supply and Demand Projections Plus Project (af/year).....	258
Table V.K-1:	Global Warming Potentials	263
Table V.K-2:	General Plan Goals and Policies that Would Reduce GHG Emissions	272
Table V.K-3:	Existing Greenhouse Gas Emissions	276
Table V.K-4:	Proposed Master Plan GHG Emissions	276
Table V.K-5:	Net Plan-Related Increase in GHG Emissions	276
Table V.K-6:	Project Compliance with Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Strategies	279
Table VI-1:	Proposed New Buildings	305
Table VII-1:	Total System Demand and Supply (acre-feet/year).....	326

